Effect of in situ synthesized TiB_2 on the reaction between B_4C and Al in a vacuum infiltrated B_4C -TiB₂-Al composite

P. Lü · X. Y. Yue · L. Yu · H. Q. Ru

Received: 29 January 2009/Accepted: 3 April 2009/Published online: 17 April 2009 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2009

Abstract The in situ reaction equation of B_4C and TiO_2 was identified using thermodynamic calculations and XRD analysis. The optimum presintering process was determined according to investigating the effect of presintering temperature on the flexural strength and porosity of the porous B_4C – TiB_2 preform. The effect of in situ synthesized TiB₂ on the reaction products and initial reaction temperature of B_4C and Al was discussed based on DSC and XRD analysis. The results showed that the in situ synthesized TiB₂ could effectively improve the mechanical properties of the B_4C – TiB_2 –Al composite, elevate the initial reaction temperature of B_4C and Al, change the reaction products, and moderate the reaction of B_4C and Al. The mechanism of reaction between B_4C and Al was discussed.

Introduction

Boron carbide (B₄C) ceramics is a promising material with unique properties such as high melting point and hardness, low density, good wear resistance, excellent resistance to chemical attack as well as high capability for neutron absorption [1–7]. Due to the outstanding properties, B₄C ceramics has shown the potential for use in various industrial fields, such as military, engineering, and nuclear energy [8–10]. However, the widespread application of B₄C ceramics has been restricted by its low strength (<300 MPa) and low fracture toughness ($K_{IC} < 2.2$ MPa m^{1/2}) [11–14].

P. Lü (⊠) · X. Y. Yue · L. Yu · H. Q. Ru Key Laboratory for Anisotropy and Texture of Materials (Ministry of Education), Northeastern University, Shenyang 110004, China e-mail: penglv1982@163.com In order to improve the mechanical properties, many reports about the B₄C ceramics with dispersed TiB₂ particles have been available [15, 16]. Furthermore, many researchers focused their attention on improving the mechanical property of the B₄C-Al composite due to the low density and good ductility of Al [17, 18]. There have been some different opinions about the reaction products of B₄C and Al and the initial formation temperature of each product so far [19, 20]. Viala et al. [21] reported that at temperatures equal to 1141 \pm 4 K, the reaction products were Al₃BC and AlB₂, and at temperatures higher than 1141 K, Al₃BC was still formed while Al₃B₄₈C₂ (β -AlB₁₂) replaced AlB₂. However, there is no report about the effect of in situ synthesized TiB₂ on the reaction between B₄C and Al. In this work the B₄C-TiB₂-Al composite was fabricated by infiltrating aluminum into the porous B_4C -Ti B_2 preform in vacuum. The optimum presintering process was determined. The effect of in situ synthesized TiB₂ on the reaction between B₄C and Al was investigated using DSC and XRD analysis. The mechanism of reaction between B₄C and Al was discussed.

Experimental procedures

In this article, B_4C powder ($B_4C > 98$ mass %, Dalian Juxing Superhard Material Ltd, China), TiO₂ powder (TiO₂ > 99 mass %, rutile, Shenyang Guangda Lighting Ltd, China) and Al alloy (Trademark 5083, Everest Metals (Suzhou) Co., Ltd, China) were used as the starting materials. Two types of B_4C powders were used with different mean sizes (d_{50}), 20 and 1 µm, respectively. The mass ratio of B_4C ($d_{50} = 20 \mu$ m) to B_4C ($d_{50} = 1 \mu$ m) was 4:1. The mean sizes of TiO₂ and Al alloy powder were 1 and 10 µm, respectively. The TiB₂ was in situ synthesized by the reaction of B_4C , TiO₂, and C, where C was supplied from

carbonization of the phenolic resin. The B_4C and TiO_2 powders and phenolic resin were weighed considering 40 mass % TiB₂ in situ synthesized in the B_4C -TiB₂ preform. The B_4C and TiO₂ powders were mixed with phenolic resin–acetone solution using a planetary ball mill with a nylon jar and Al₂O₃ balls in absolute alcohol for 86.4 ks. The slurry was dried in a rotary vacuum evaporator for 3.6 ks, followed by oven drying at 373 K for 43.2 ks. The resulting powders were granulated using a 60 mesh sieve for further use.

The Gibbs free energy change (ΔG^0) of the in situ reaction equation of B₄C and TiO₂ in vacuum was calculated. B₄C-TiO₂ mixed powders were pressed into compacts under 150 MPa and then held for 3.6 ks under a vacuum of 1×10^{-2} Pa at selected temperatures (1273, 1373, and 1473 K) based on the thermodynamic calculations. Then the specimens were tested using X-ray diffraction (XRD, X'Pert Pro MRD, Panalytical B.V., Holand). The pressed specimens were also used to investigate the effect of presintering temperature (Temperature range of 1473-2373 K, 1.8 ks holding time, 0.1 MPa Ar pressure) on the flexural strength and porosity of the TiB₂–B₄C preform. The flexural strength was measured by three-point bending tests (specimen size = $40 \text{ mm} \times 4 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$, bend span = 30 mm, and load speed = 0.05 mm/min) using hydraulic universal testing machine (WE-10A, Mts Systems (China) Co., Ltd, China). The porosity of the preform was investigated using the mercury injection apparatus (AutoPore IV 9500, Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, USA). The B₄C and the B₄C-TiB₂ preform powders (Both presintered at 2323 K for 1.8 ks) were mixed with Al alloy powder, respectively. The reactions of B_4C -Al and B_4C -Ti B_2 -Al systems were analyzed using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, STA 409CD, Netzsch Instrument Corporation, Germany) from 300 to 1373 K with a 10 K/min heat rate under a vacuum of 1×10^{-2} Pa same with the aluminum infiltration process for fabricating B₄C-TiB₂-Al composite. The B₄C-Al and the B₄C-TiB₂-Al mixed powders were also held for 3.6 ks at peak temperatures (906, 1009, 1169 K and 906, 1174 K, respectively) based on the DSC results. Then the phase identification was performed by XRD.

Results and discussion

In B_4C -Ti B_2 -Al composite, the Ti B_2 addition was achieved by the in situ synthesis of B_4C and Ti O_2 . Ti B_2 could be likely in situ synthesized as either of the followings.

$$\mathbf{B}_4\mathbf{C} + 2\mathbf{T}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{O}_2 + 3\mathbf{C} = 2\mathbf{T}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{B}_2 + 4\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}\uparrow\tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_4\mathbf{C} + 2\mathbf{T}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{O}_2 + \mathbf{C} = 2\mathbf{T}\mathbf{i}\mathbf{B}_2 + 2\mathbf{C}\mathbf{O}_2\uparrow\tag{2}$$

where C was supplied from carbonization of the phenolic resin. The temperature (T) dependence of Gibbs free

energy change (ΔG^0) in reaction Eqs. 1 and 2 can be derived from the thermodynamic data [22] of the following reactions:

$$C (\text{solid}) + O_2 (\text{gas}) = CO_2 (\text{gas})$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -395350 - 0.54T$$
(3)

$$C (\text{solid}) + 0.5O_2 (\text{gas}) = CO (\text{gas})$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -114400 - 85.77T$$
(4)

$$Ti (solid) + O_2 (gas) = TiO_2 (solid, rutile)$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -941000 + 177.57T$$
(5)

$$4B (solid) + C (solid) = B_4C (solid)$$

$$\Delta G^0 = 41500 + 5.56T$$
(6)

$$Ti (solid) + 2B (solid) = TiB_2 (solid)$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -333340 + 24.0T$$
(7)

For reaction (1), Gibbs free energy change could be calculated as $\Delta G^0(1) = 896900 - 662.78T$ and for reaction (2), $\Delta G^{0}(2) = 563800 - 320.78T$. Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of Gibbs free energy change $\Delta G^{0}(1)$ and $\Delta G^{0}(2)$. As shown in Fig. 1, $\Delta G^{0}(1) < 0$ at temperatures higher than 1353.2 K while $\Delta G^{0}(2) < 0$ at temperatures higher than 1757.6 K. Based on the second law of thermodynamic the theoretical initial formation temperature of TiB₂ phase was 1353.2 K for reaction (1) and 1757.6 K for reaction (2). On the other hand, Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of B₄C-TiO₂ compacts at different temperatures of 1273, 1373, and 1473 K for 3.6 ks in vacuum. The temperature selection was based on the thermodynamics calculation results mentioned above. As shown in Fig. 2a, there were only B_4C and TiO_2 phases appeared in the XRD pattern at 1273 K showing no evidence of the reaction. As shown in Fig. 2b although

- △G⁰(2) 100 0 G⁰, KJ/mol -100 -200 -300 -400 1300 1400 1500 1600 1700 1800 1900 1200 Temperature, K

- ∆G⁰(1)

200

Fig. 1 The temperature dependence of Gibbs free energy change (ΔG^0)



Fig. 2 XRD patterns of B_4C -TiO₂ compacts at different temperatures for 3.6 ks in vacuum: **a** 1273 K, **b** 1373 K, and **c** 1473 K

there was a few of TiO₂ remained, B₄C and TiB₂ could be identified at 1373 K implying that the actual initial formation temperature of TiB₂ in situ synthesized was no more than 1373 K, which was much lower than the theoretical initial formation temperature of TiB₂ phase (1757.6 K) deduced from reaction (2). So the in situ reaction of B₄C and TiO₂ was determined followed by the reaction (1). Figure 2c showed TiB₂ and B₄C phases while no TiO₂ phase was identified at 1473 K. We assumed that at higher temperature it was possible to make TiO₂ convert to TiB₂ completely in a relative short time and avoid abnormal growth of TiB₂ grain resulted from excessive holding time.

In this work, the B_4C-TiB_2 preform with high strength should be achieved by sintering at higher temperature due to the poor sinterability of B₄C. Besides the good strength, the B₄C-TiB₂ preform was also expected to have a favorable porosity and form a three-dimensional network of interconnected capillaries, which could facilitate the aluminum infiltration to fabricate the B₄C-TiB₂-Al composite with excellent mechanical properties. So a relative low preparation temperature was also required for making the porous B_4C-TiB_2 preform. It was very important to choose the proper presintering temperature considering these two factors. Table 1 shows the effect of presintering temperature on the flexural strength and porosity of the B₄C-TiB₂ preform. With increasing the presintering temperature, the flexural strength increased while the porosity decreased gradually. The preform showed good flexural strength and porosity presintered at 2323 K in Table 1. When the temperature was up to 2373 K, the flexural strength increased slightly while the porosity decreased sharply which was not beneficial to the aluminum infiltration to fabricate B₄C-TiB₂-Al composite. Therefore, the optimum presintering process for the porous B₄C-TiB₂

Table 1 The effect of presintering temperature on the flexural strength and porosity of the B_4C -Ti B_2 preform

Presintering temperature (K)	Flexural strength (MPa)	Porosity (%)
1473	31.3 ± 7.5	46.5
1773	37.6 ± 5.3	45.6
1973	80.6 ± 5.5	41.3
2073	85.8 ± 6.2	39.7
2173	113.6 ± 4.8	38.6
2323	155.3 ± 5.6	36.5
2373	160.2 ± 6.5	28.7

preform was first heated at 1473 K for 3.6 ks in vacuum and then at 2323 K for 1.8 ks in an Ar atmosphere.

Figure 3a shows the DSC curve of the reaction between B₄C perform and Al in the temperature range of 300-1373 K. The DSC curve showed three peaks: one was an endothermic peak and the other two were exothermic peaks at temperatures of 906, 1009, and 1169 K, respectively. Figure 3b shows the XRD patterns of B₄C-Al mixed powders held for 3.6 ks at the peak temperatures of the DSC curve. As shown in Fig. 3b, there were only B_4C and Al phase existing in the mixed powders at 906 K. It was identified that the endothermic peak at 906 K shown in Fig. 3a was resulted from the heat absorption caused by aluminum melting in the temperature range of 887-915 K. At 1009 K, Al₃BC as a reaction product of B₄C and Al was present in XRD pattern with a great exothermic reaction. This drastic exothermic reaction in the temperature range of 933-1073 K was the result of the great heat release due to the Al₃BC phase formation. When the temperature was up to 1169 K, another phase AlB₂ was found by XRD besides B₄C, Al and Al₃BC accompanied with a little heat release. It was assumed that the small exothermic peak might be caused by the AlB₂ formation in the temperature range of 1157-1187 K.

Figure 4a shows the DSC curve of the reaction between B₄C-TiB₂ preform and Al in the temperature range of 300-1373 K. As shown in Fig. 4a, the DSC curve showed two peaks: one was an endothermic peak and the other was an exothermic peak in the temperature range of 873-915 K and 1152–1190 K, respectively. Compared with Fig. 3a the exothermic peak in 933–1073 K shown in Fig. 3a was not present in Fig. 4a. It was assumed that the TiB₂ addition significantly elevated the initial reaction temperature of B₄C and Al for about 219 K. Figure 4b shows the XRD patterns of B₄C-TiB₂-Al mixed powders held for 3.6 ks at the peak temperatures of DSC curve. As shown in Fig. 4b, there were B₄C, TiB₂, and Al phases at 906 K. Same as Fig. 3a, the endothermic peak was also caused by the heat absorption due to aluminum melting. At 1174 K, Al₃BC as the only reaction product was identified by XRD besides



Fig. 3 DSC of the reaction of B_4C preform and Al (a) and XRD patterns of B_4C -Al mixed powders at selected temperatures (b)



Fig. 4 DSC of the reaction of B₄C-TiB₂ preform and Al (a) and XRD patterns of B₄C-TiB₂-Al mixed powders at selected temperatures (b)

 B_4C , TiB₂, and Al. Compared to B_4C -Al system, no AlB₂ phase was formed during aluminum infiltration of B_4C -TiB₂-Al at 1373 K for 3.6 ks in vacuum. The in situ synthesized TiB₂ not only changed the reaction products of B_4C and Al but also moderated the reaction that could make the more B_4C and Al phases remained. In addition, the existence of TiB₂ and the more B_4C and Al phases might improve the mechanical properties of B_4C -TiB₂-Al composite.

The reaction of B_4C and Al could be considered as a process of atom diffusion. Al atoms diffused toward B_4C , on the contrary B and C atoms in B_4C diffused into Al simultaneously during aluminum infiltration. The diffusion rates of B, C and Al atoms were Al > C > B in turn. During aluminum infiltration inside B_4C next to the interface of B_4C and Al (marked region 1), the C/B atomic ratio increased significantly due to the diffusion rate of C atoms higher than that of B atoms. Meanwhile carbon-depleted area (marked region 2) with plentiful B atoms remained could be formed in the interior of B_4C away from the interface of B₄C and Al. Considering diffusion rate of Al atom was much higher than that of B and C atoms, we assumed that the diffusion of B and C atoms could only happen in region 1 and region 2, and few B and C atoms diffused into Al area. We could also assume that the reaction of B₄C and Al was basically the process of Al atoms diffusing into B₄C. Figure 5 shows the schematic figures on the mechanism of reaction between B₄C and Al. The B₄C-Al system in lower temperature was represented in Fig. 5a With increasing the temperature, Al atoms first diffused into region 1 (Fig. 5b). Consequently hexagonal Al₃BC was formed as a barrier that might block the Al atoms diffusing into region 2. With the increasing diffusion rate of Al atoms in higher temperatures, Al atoms could overcome the diffusion barrier and move into region 2 to form AlB₂ bonding with B atoms (Fig. 5c). For B₄C-TiB₂-Al system, in situ synthesized TiB₂ around the B₄C





obstructed Al contacting B_4C and prevented Al atoms diffusion (Fig. 5d). Namely TiB₂ addition increased indirectly the diffusion activation energy of Al atoms diffusing into B₄C. Compared with B₄C–Al system, the Al₃BC formation required higher temperature so that Al atoms could break through the diffusion barrier and move into region 1 (Fig. 5e). It could be presumed that Al atoms could obtain enough energy to diffuse into region 2 forming AlB₂ phase when the temperature is more or much higher than 1373 K.

Conclusions

In summary, the reaction equation of B_4C and TiO_2 was identified as $B_4C + 2TiO_2 + 3C = 2TiB_2 + 4CO \uparrow$ using thermodynamic calculations and XRD analysis, and the optimum presintering process for the porous B_4C-TiB_2 preform was first heated at 1473 K for 3.6 ks in vacuum and then at 2323 K for 1.8 ks in an Ar atmosphere. The in situ synthesized TiB₂ could prevent Al atoms diffusion and increase indirectly the diffusion activation energy of Al atoms. The TiB₂ addition could effectively improve the mechanical properties of the B_4C-TiB_2-Al composite, elevate the initial reaction temperature of B_4C and Al, change the reaction products and moderate the reaction between B_4C and Al.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50372010), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20060145028) and Supported by Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT0713).

References

- 1. Aizenshtein M, Froumin N, Shapiro-Tsoref E et al (2005) Scr Mater 53:1231
- Mashhadi M, Taheri-Nassaj E, Sglavo VM et al (2009) Ceram Int 35:831
- 3. Fanchini G, Gupta V, Mann AB et al (2008) J Am Ceram Soc 91:2666
- 4. Hayun S, Rittel D, Frage N et al (2008) Mater Sci Eng A 487:405
- 5. Roy TK, Subramanian C, Suri AK (2006) Ceram Int 32:227
- 6. Lee H, Speyer RF, Hackenberger WS (2002) J Am Ceram Soc 85:2131
- Chen MW, McCauley JW, LaSalvia JC et al (2005) J Am Ceram Soc 88:1935
- 8. Lee BS, Kang S (2001) Mater Chem Phys 67:249
- 9. Goldstein A, Yeshurun Y, Goldenberg A (2007) J Eur Ceram Soc 27:695
- Heian EM, Khalsa SK, Lee JW et al (2004) J Am Ceram Soc 87:779
- Marianna K, Christoper SM, Andreas M (2002) Mater Sci Eng A 337:264
- 12. Shi L, Gu YL, Chen LY et al (2003) Solid State Commun 128:5
- 13. Wen G, Li SB, Zhang BS et al (2000) Scr Mater 43:853
- 14. Yamada S, Hirao K, Yamauchi Y et al (2003) Ceram Int 29:299
- 15. Skorokhod V, Krstic VD (2000) J Mater Sci Lett 19:237
- Yamada S, Hirao K, Yamauchi Y et al (2003) J Eur Ceram Soc 23:1123
- 17. Jung J, Kang S (2004) J Am Ceram Soc 87:47
- Frage N, Levin L, Frumin N et al (2003) J Mater Process Technol 143–144:486
- 19. Arslan G, Kara F, Turan S (2003) J Eur Ceram Soc 23:1243
- 20. PyziK AJ, Beaman DR (1995) J Am Ceram Soc 78:305
- 21. Viala JC, Bouix J (1997) J Mater Sci 32:4559. doi:10.1023/ A:1018625402103
- 22. Liang YJ, Che YC (1994) Inorganic materials thermodynamics data book. Northeastern University, China